

# Rubric for Research-to-Practice Abstracts

	5	3	1
Theoretical Framework	Described specifically	Theoretical framework's appropriateness or contribution in research unclear	Not described
Implications for Practice:		Implications for practice are unclear, impractical, or only partially supported	Not described
	Context, motivations, accomplishments specific	incompletely described	Context, motivations, accomplishments not described
Relevance	•	Described mostly in general, but applicable terms	Not described
	Appears to be strong full- paper submission		Appears to be SP submission

#### Contribution

Each abstract must briefly state the specific contribution of the paper towards illustrating how engineering education research informs engineering education practice. Contributions may be made in various forms, but they should answer such questions as: What is situation being addressed? What are the goals of the practice being implemented? What research provides the foundations for the inventive practice?

#### **Description**

In this section, the authors would describe the setting (in the broad context of engineering education, not necessarily the particular institutional context) for the practice, motivations for the practice, research that has supported the practice, what results have been obtained, and what remains to be done. Ideally, the authors would include a clear statement of implications for educational practice, the certainty to which their attempt to transfer research to practice aligned with the expectations from related work, and delineate the actor(s) and action(s) implied.



# Rubric for Research-To-Practice Submissions

**Submissions under the RESEARCH-TO-PRACTICE category** should provide coherent and convincing arguments of how the education research is transformed into education practice and argue how this new practice advances the state of knowledge in the field. The criteria for papers in this category are the following:

- To what extent are the practices described in the paper extensible, innovative or impactful translations of pedagogical research to educational practice?
- Does the work demonstrate knowledge of related work and discuss the relevance of the submission's contribution in the context of the prior literature in the field and other relevant areas?
- What is the breadth of the audience that will be interested in the subject of the paper?
- To what extent is the paper professionally written? All papers must be submitted in English.

### **Full Papers**

Full papers are expected to present some relevant aspects of learning theory and show how these are applied in educational practice. Full papers should demonstrate scholarly quality as evaluated on the strength of the methodology used, the quality/depth of the theoretical foundation, and the quality/depth of the analysis and related discussion. In addition, these should maintain a high level of scholarly quality, reflecting on how this work extends/is distinguished from other work attempted in similar areas.

	5	4	3	2	1
Theoretical Framework: Rate and summarize how this submission describes the theoretical framework relative to its contribution to engineering education.	Complete, accurate and useful description of relevant pedagogical theories	Accurate and worthwhile description of relevant pedagogical theories	Some useful description of relevant pedagogical theories	description of the	Very limited description of the relevant pedagogical theories
Implications for Practice: Rate and summarize how this submission makes a contribution extending research in engineering education to the practice of engineering education.	Highly original, extensible and/or novel translation of pedagogical research to practice.		extensible and/or practical translation of pedagogical research to	Limited application of pedagogical research to practice; not very original, extensible or novel.	Incomplete or very limited application of pedagogical research to practice
Significance: Rate and summarize how this submission is important and makes an important contribution to engineering education.	Very important; of broad and/or significant impact	Of measurable impact and/or significance	Some impact and/or significance	Limited; Some interesting points	Very limited contribution
Relevance: Rate how and explain how the work advances frontiers in education within the context of FIE.	Highly relevant	Clearly appropriate and well focused	Appropriate and reasonably focused	Somewhat relevant, but not focused	Not relevant
Language and Expression: Rate and assess the organization, language and English expression used in the submission.	Excellent, exemplary use of language enhancing the quality of the submission	Good, appropriate as is	Reasonable, may need some revision	Poor language, unlikely that it can be sufficiently improved	Very difficult to understand
Context: Rate the	Excellent	Good,	Incomplete,	Incomplete	Little or no



effectiveness of relating this work in demonstrating a strong knowledge of related and prior work. Rate and include specific suggestions of missing literature.	effectively relates	complete knowledge of related work; related to the	related work; reasonably	connection to the submission's contribution	reference to related work and/or context is disconnected to the submission's contribution
depth when outlining the novel practice at their and other institutions. A high impact	The research is methodologically strong, theoretical foundation is good, and analysis/discussion are of high quality	Relevant theory and method are applied with some limitations	The submission uses theory and analysis methods though details are unclear in places	are weak and there are flaws in argument/analysi s	
REVIEWER'S CONFIDENCE: Please indicate your level of expertise related to the content of this submission.	Expert	High	Medium	Low	None
OVERALL EVALUATION: This should reflect the combination of the individual section's evaluations.	Accept		Accept with revisions		Reject

### **Short Paper**

Short paper (i.e., Work-in-Progress) research-to-practice submissions should provide motivation for the practice, research that has supported the practice, what results have been obtained, and what remains to be done. Short papers should introduce new ideas and encourage a discourse that can potentially advance the field in some way. The phrase "Work in Progress:" must be the first words of the abstract.

	5	4	3	2	1
Theoretical Framework: Rate and summarize how this submission describes the theoretical framework relative to its contribution to engineering education.	Complete, accurate and useful description of relevant pedagogical theories	Accurate and worthwhile description of relevant pedagogical theories	Some useful description of relevant pedagogical theories	unsupported description of the	Very limited description of the relevant pedagogical theories
Implications for Practice: Rate and summarize how this submission makes a contribution extending research in engineering education to the practice of engineering education.		Extensible and/or practical translation of pedagogical research to practice.	Somewhat extensible and/or practical translation of pedagogical research to practice.	research to practice; not very	Incomplete or very limited application of pedagogical research to practice
Significance: Rate and summarize how this submission is important and makes an important contribution to engineering	Very important; of broad and/or significant impact	Of measurable impact and/or significance	Some impact and/or significance	Limited; Some interesting points	Very limited contribution



education.					
Relevance: Rate how and explain how the work advances frontiers in education within the context of FIE.	Highly relevant	Clearly appropriate and well focused	Appropriate and reasonably focused	Somewhat relevant, but not focused	Not relevant
	Excellent, exemplary use of language enhancing the quality of the submission	Good, appropriate as is	Reasonable, may need some revision	Poor language, unlikely that it can be sufficiently improved	Very difficult to understand
the effectiveness of relating the contribution of the work to salient related and/or prior work. Include specific	Excellent knowledge of salient related work that effectively relates to the contribution	salient related work that relates to the	Incomplete, but useful references to salient related work; reasonably connected to the contribution	references to salient literature; weakly connection to the contribution	Inaccurate or no reference to salient work and/or context is disconnected to the submission's contribution
REVIEWER'S CONFIDENCE: Please indicate your level of expertise related to the content of this submission.	Expert	High	Medium	Low	None
OVERALL EVALUATION: This should reflect the combination of the individual section's evaluations.	Accept		Accept with revisions		Reject